



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,214	10/21/2003	Michael J. Sundermeyer	58083/374965 (M062)	2346
72058 7590 06/10/2010 Kilpatrick Stockton LLP- Adobe Systems, Inc. 58083 Kilpatrick Stockton LLP 1100 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30309-4530				
EXAMINER LUDWIG, MATTHEW J				
ART UNIT 2178		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 06/10/2010		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipcfiling@kilpatrickstockton.com
jlhicc@kilpatrick.foundationip.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/690,214

Applicant(s)

SUNDERMEYER ET AL.

Examiner

MATTHEW J. LUDWIG

Art Unit

2178

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 32-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 32-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SI/200)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2010.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination received 3/29/2010.
2. Claims 32-41 are pending in the application. Claims 32 and 37 are independent claims.
3. Claims 32-41 rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(b) as being anticipated by the reference to GlogalScape have been withdrawn pursuant to applicant's amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 32-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ries USPN 7,287,227 filed 7/1/2002.**

In reference to independent claim 32, Ries teaches:

'present a web browsing interface in a page editing application;

Receive input in the web browsing interface, the input identifying a web page, the input comprising entry of a URL of the identified web page or selection of a link to the identified web page;

In response to the input access and display the identified web page in the web browsing interface;

The reference to Ries provides a web browser interface and the ability to search/retrieve web pages based on URL within an editing application. See column 3, lines 35-45, column 7, lines 1-10, and column 6, lines 52-67.

'in response to further input selecting an edit command in the web browsing interface, the input received while the identified web page is displayed in the web browsing interface:

Downloading the identified web page, including web page, including related files associated with display of the identified web page,

Present a page editing interface in the page editing application, and receiving input editing the identified web page;

The reference further teaches editing commands within the browser interface for modifying a web page within a website. The related filed, as presently claimed, are taught by the reference to Ries by utilizing security features requiring the use to enter specific passwords for access to editing features. See column 8, lines 22-67, column 12, lines 45-67; and column 13, lines 60-67. The editing features as taught by the reference are provided within a browser interface and allows for page editing and input of modifications to specific web pages.

'in response to input selecting a publish command in the page editing interface, publish the identified web page as edited by using a cross-protocol mapping to upload the identified web page as edited to a file transfer protocol server, the cross-protocol mapping created by the page editing application'.

The reference provides a means of saving changes through user input and modifying documents based upon said changes. Further, the reference discloses rewriting content into a FTP site based upon modifications to the content. Hooks allow for content modification and server side script

allows for a new mapping based upon a modification. See column 13, lines 45-67; column 14, lines 37-50; column 16, lines 54-67. The reference fails to explicitly state a publish command however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the well-known save methods as taught by Ries which provide a similar modification and publication of content based upon changes which would have provided a user the ability to upload modified web pages to specific protocol servers.

In reference to dependent claim 33, Ries teaches:

The reference parses the identified web page within a website and therefore uses the process to allow multiple pages to be delivered to client. See column 13, lines 35-67.

In reference to dependent claim 34, Ries teaches:

The reference provides editing features for modifying pages of a website and automatically parsing the sections to identify changes to page related content. See column 14, lines 15-30.

In reference to dependent claim 35, Ries teaches:

The user can edit page related files or sections of a web page through a browser interface and input selections. See column 8, lines 40-67.

In reference to dependent claim 36, Ries teaches:

The reference provides a means of saving changes through user input and modifying documents based upon said changes. Further, the reference discloses rewriting content into a FTP site based upon modifications to the content. Hooks allow for content modification and server side script allows for a new mapping based upon a modification. See column 13, lines 45-67; column 14, lines 37-50; column 16, lines 54-67.

In reference to claims 37-41, the claims recite the method for carrying out similar steps to those found in rejected claims 32-36. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant amended the claims and included newly formed limitation which required the examiner to provide a new search. The newly formed rejection is in response to the amendments.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW J. LUDWIG whose telephone number is (571)272-4127. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen S. Hong/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit
2178

ML